

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
09/517,613	03/02/2000	Thiru Srinivasan	1642(42059-01010)	4139			
· 25231	7590 01/12/2007		EXAM	EXAMINER			
MARSH, FISCHMANN & BREYFOGLE LLP 3151 SOUTH VAUGHN WAY							
SUITE 411		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
AURORA, (CO 80014						

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			₹ .				
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/517,613	SRINIVASAN, THIRU				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		David E. England	2143				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence	address			
The Ap	The Appeal Brief filed on 11 October 2006 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.						
1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRAI	he mailing date of this Notificatio	ate correction (se n, whichever is I	ee MPEP onger.			
1.	The brief does not contain the items required u heading or in the proper order.	inder 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper					
2.	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3.	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5.	The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	of each ground of rejection pres	ented for review	(37 CFR			
6.	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFF 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).						
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appendi	x thereto (37 CFR				
8. 🗌	other evidence entered by the examiner and re	not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a ng forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix R 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).					
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisic identified in the Related Appeals and Interferent 41.37(c)(1)(x)).						
10.🖾	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):					
	The Appellant does not have a concise explanation of involved in the appeal. The Appellant only has a small does not disclose the specific teachings of the indep subject matter can be found in the specification and	all explanation of a very general app endent claims nor where each of the	lication of the inve	ntion and			

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100